# Impacts of Wolbachia Infection on Predator Prey Relationships: Evaluating Survival and Horizontal Transfer Between wMelPop Infected Aedes aegypti and Its Predators

TIMOTHY P. HURST,<sup>1,2</sup> GEOFF PITTMAN,<sup>3</sup> SCOTT L. O'NEILL,<sup>4</sup> PETER A. RYAN,<sup>1,4</sup> HOANG LE NGUYEN,<sup>5</sup> and BRIAN H. KAY<sup>1</sup>

J. Med. Entomol. 49(3): 624-630 (2012); DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/ME11277

The *w*MelPop strain of *Wolbachia* is currently being investigated for its potential use ABSTRACT as a biological control agent to reduce the ability of Aedes aegypti (L.) mosquitoes to transmit dengue viruses. The survival of a potential wMelPop infected Ae. aegupti strain for field release is important as a higher susceptibility to predation in the *w*MelPop strain could result in difficulties in achieving fixation. We investigated immature and adult survival as a function of susceptibility to predation by six naturally occurring predator species; cyclopoid copepods, fish, predatory *Toxorhynchites* mosquito larvae and a salticid jumping spider. The trials indicated that wMelPop infected and uninfected Ae. aegypti larvae and adults were equally susceptible to predation to all six tested predators. In addition to evaluating any potential fitness costs to the infected host, we were unable to demonstrate horizontal transfer of wMelPop via consumption of infected Ae. aegypti larvae to the above predators. That susceptibility to predation was consistent across mosquito life stage, predator species and experimental venue is strong evidence that despite the neurotrophic and extensive nature of *w*MelPop infection, behavioral changes are not occurring, or at least not a determining factor in survival when exposed to a predator. Based on our results and the ecology of Wolbachia and mosquito predators, horizontal transfer of wMelPop from Ae. aegypti into naturally occurring predators is not cause for concern.

**KEY WORDS** *Wolbachia*, fitness, *Aedes aegypti*, transfer, predation

Wolbachia are intracellular bacteria that infect a wide range of arthropods, including insects, arachnids, and crustaceans (O'Neill et al. 1992; Werren et al. 1995a,b; Bouchon et al. 1998; Werren and Windsor 2000). Recently, it has been demonstrated that wMelPop infection inhibits the ability of a range of pathogens to infect Aedes aegypti (L.) (Kambris et al. 2009, Moreira et al. 2009a) and as such the wMelPop strain of Wolbachia is currently being investigated for its potential to reduce the capacity for transmission of dengue viruses, with planned field releases in North Queensland, Australia, and Vietnam. While the release of the wMel strain of Wolbachia in North Queensland was extremely successful (Hoffman et al. 2011), there are demonstrated fitness costs associated with the *w*Mel-Pop strain that will strongly influence the success of this control strategy. These include impacts on the

0022-2585/12/0624-0630\$04.00/0 © 2012 Entomological Society of America

lifespan of adult mosquitoes (McMeniman et al. 2009) feeding success in older mosquitoes (Moreira et al. 2009b, Turley et al. 2009), locomotor activity (Evans et al. 2009), egg desiccation (McMeniman and O'Neill 2010), hatch rate, and fecundity (McMeniman et al. 2011). Currently, there is little information on the cost of wMelPop infection on larval survival, despite the obvious impact on the success of field releases. Harcombe and Hoffman (2004) demonstrated that wMel-Pop infection of Wolbachia had no effect on larval development time of Drosophila melanogaster Meigen but more recent work in mosquitoes has demonstrated minor costs to Wolbachia infected male Aedes albopictus (Skuse) larvae reared under food-limited conditions (Islam and Dobson 2006, Gavotte et al. 2009) and to male Ae. aegupti (McMeniman and O'Neill 2010). Thus, there is the need to investigate a wide range of host fitness parameters, not only in assessing the potential success of the strategy but to aid in modeling required release size and frequency (Brelsfoard and Dobson 2009).

In addition to the traits listed above which contribute to species fitness, antipredator behavior is important to species survival under certain circumstances. In the presence of chemical predation cues larvae adopt 'low risk' behaviors by moving less and by mod-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mosquito Control Laboratory, Queensland Institute of Medical Research, PO Royal Brisbane Hospital, Brisbane, QLD 4029, Australia.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Corresponding author, e-mail: tim.hurst@qimr.edu.au.
<sup>3</sup> California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne 3800, Victoria, Australia.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, 1 Yersin Street, Hanoi, Vietnam.

ifying their behavior to safer microhabitats (Ferrari, 2008, Kesavaraju and Juliano, 2005, Juliano and Gravel, 2002, Grill and Juliano, 1996, Sih 1986). This was recently demonstrated by Van Uitregt et al. (2011) using *Aedes notoscriptus* (Skuse) and predatory fish species *Hypseleotris galii* (Ogilby). Thus, predators may be useful tools to explore changes in behavior of *w*Mel-Pop infected individuals that will ultimately result in reduced survival.

We investigate larval survival as a function of predation by two copepod species *Mesocyclops darwini* Dussart and Fernando and *Mesocyclops aspericornis* (Daday), two fish species *Pseudomugil signifer* (Kner) and *Poecilia reticulata* Peters and one predatory mosquito species *Toxorhynchites speciosus* (Skuse). All five species readily consume *Ae. aegypti* and other larvae, and have been applied in biological control programs against *Ae. aegypti* in Vietnam (Nam et al. 2000) and Queensland, Australia (Brown et al. 1991, 1992, 1996). We also investigated the ability of adult *Ae. aegypti* to avoid predation by jumping spiders *Hasarius adansoni* (Audouin). The jumping spiders (Salticidae) are a ubiquitous group and known predators of mosquitoes (Popovici et al. 2010).

An additional impediment to the planned release strategy is the concern by the public associated with the risk of unintentional *Wolbachia* spread into nontarget populations (Popovici et al. 2010), despite *Wolbachia* having rarely spread by horizontal transfer (de Barro et al. 2011) to other organisms in the wild. Popovici et al. (2010) investigated the possibility of human infection historically and experimentally by bite and also failed to infect jumping spiders, *Menemerus bivittatus* (Dufour) and Daddy Long Legs, *Pholcus phalangioides* (Fuesslin) by feeding. Despite this early evidence, this possibility would provide a major impediment to the use of any *Wolbachia*-based disease reduction strategy (Brelsfoard and Dobson 2009) and requires further evaluation.

The occurrence of closely related strains of Wolbachia in distantly related insect species indicates that these infections can colonize new host species by horizontal transfer (Werren et al., 1995b, van Meer et al. 1999, Cordeaux et al. 2001, Russell et al. 2009), although the natural mechanisms by which this occurs are unknown. To date, the only experimental studies to demonstrate interspecific transmission of Wolba*chia* have focused on parasitic wasps that can pick up infections either from their insect host or from other parasitic wasp species sharing the same host (Heath et al. 1999, Huigens et al. 2004). However, most strains that appear closely related in Wolbachia phylogenies occur in arthropods not linked by this interaction and other means of horizontal transfer seem likely (Sintupachee et al. 2006). Some studies suggest that Wolbachia could spread through consumption of infected or contaminated diets (Kittayapong et al. 2003, Sintupachee et al. 2006). In this article, we examine the issues of 1) survival in terms of predator avoidance and 2) any horizontal transfer that may have occurred in the predators after being fed a diet of *w*Melpop infected Ae. aegypti.

#### Materials and Methods

Mosquito Rearing. Four *Ae. aegypti* lines were used in these experiments. A genetically diverse line derived from PGYP1 (McMeniman et al., 2009), named PGYP1.out (Moreira et al. 2009), was generated by backcrossing PGYP1 for three generations to F1 males of 52 independent field-collected isofemale lines from Cairns, Australia. Two further generations of backcrossing were conducted with F2 field-collected material (wild-type from Cairns, Australia). A tetracycline-cured counterpart (PGYP1.out.tet, -Wolb) was generated by antibiotic treatment of back-crossed adults, followed by two generations of recovery and recolonization with gut bacteria as previously described by McMeniman et al. (2009).

Mosquitoes were kept in an insectary at 26°C, 70% RH, 12 h light regime. *Ae. aegypti* larvae were maintained with TetraMin (Tetra, Melle, Germany) tablets and adults were offered 10% sucrose solution, ad libitum. Adult females were bloodfed on human volunteers (UQ human ethics approval 2007001379; QIMR approval P361) for egg production. *Tx. speciosus* larvae were maintained with larvae of colony reared *Ae. notoscriptus* and adults were offered 20% sucrose solution, ad libitum.

Adult and Larval Fitness. Copepods. Experiments were conducted independently using cultures of M. darwini and M. aspericornis at the Queensland Institute of Medical Research (QIMR), Australia and the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology (NIHE), Vietnam, respectively. For each experiment, 15 adult female Mesocyclops were placed into 10 individual 10 liter aquaria  $(31 \times 20 \times 15 \text{ cm})$  containing 4 liters of stock solution. The stock solution was made up of 0.5 liters of hay infusion (hay in aged tap water) and 3.5 liters of aged tap water. Aquaria were placed on a bench in the laboratory to allow partial exposure to sunlight. Based on preliminary predation trials, 100 newly hatched wMelPop infected and 100 newly hatched tetracycline-cured Ae. aegypti larvae were added to each aquaria, representing approximately twice the mean number consumed by *Mesocyclops* in a 24 h period. Mesocyclops were added 24 h before larvae to ensure that individuals were hungry and acclimated to the experimental conditions. At 24 h, surviving larvae and Mesocyclops were removed, counted, and predation rate and infection status determined.

Fish. Experiments were conducted independently using the Pacific Blue-eye *P. signifer* and the guppy *P. reticulata* at the QIMR, Australia and at NIHE, Vietnam, respectively. For both experiments,  $\approx 100$  fish were purchased from a local supplier and placed into a 100 liter stock aquarium in the laboratory. From this aquarium, 20 adult male fish of similar size were placed into individual 10 liter aquaria ( $31 \times 20 \times 15$  cm) containing 9 liters aged tap water and a gravel substrate. For *P. reticulata*, 50 fourth instar *w*MelPop infected and 50 fourth instar tetracycline cured *Ae. aegypti* larvae were added to each aquaria, whereas for *P. signifer* only 25 of each was added. These numbers

Vol. 49, no. 3

were based on preliminary predation trials with each species and represented twice the average daily consumption rate. After 24 h, fish were removed and surviving larvae removed, counted, and predation rate and infection status determined.

Mosquitoes. Larvae of Tx. speciosus were collected from McDowall, QLD, Australia, and colonized at the QIMR insectary. Individual fourth instar Tx. speciosus larvae were removed from colony rearing trays and placed into 4.5 cm diameter  $\times$  9 cm deep jars containing 5 ml of algal solution (forming a mat on the bottom from which individuals could hide and ambush prey) in 100 ml water. Based on preliminary predation trials, seven fourth instar wMelPop infected and seven fourth instar tetracycline-cured Ae. aegypti were added to each container, representing approximately twice the mean number consumed by *Tx. speciosus* in a 24 h period. Forty replicate jars containing a single Tx. speciosus were added 24 h before Ae. aegupti larvae to ensure that individuals were hungry and acclimated to the experimental conditions. At 24 h, surviving larvae and Tx. speciosus were removed, counted, and predation rate and infection status determined.

Spiders. Adults (both sexes) of the broadly distributed jumping spider Hasarius adansoni were collected in buildings and glass houses at the University of Queensland, Australia. Individuals were held in plastic vials  $(9.5 \times 3 \text{ cm diameter})$  with fine gauze mesh and fed on a diet of uninfected Ae. aegypti adults ad libitum until 4 d before the commencement of feeding trials; thereafter nothing. Four 64 cm<sup>3</sup> cages of fine gauze were used for the predation trials. Inside, wooden dowels were placed throughout to increase the accessibility of the mosquitoes to the spiders. For each feeding trial, two treatment cages each included 12 spiders, 100 wMelPop infected mosquitoes (50 male and 50 female) and 100 tetracycline cured mosquitoes (50 males and 50 females). The remaining two untreated control cages contained the same numbers of mosquitoes without spiders. These feeding trials were carried out on a weekly routine on three occasions for 1-wk old and 3-wk old mosquitoes. Surviving mosquitoes were aspirated from the cages after a 24 h period, counted and tested for Wolbachia.

Horizontal Transfer. Copepods. Experiments were conducted independently from cultures of M. darwini and *M. aspericornis* at the QIMR Australia and the NIHE, Vietnam, respectively. We fed 200 individual Mesocyclops up to 20 wMelPop infected Ae. aegypti over 4 d. Individual adult female *Mesocyclops* were placed into tissue culture plate wells (35 mm diameter, 18 mm deep) containing 10 ml of water and starved for 24 h before the trial. To each well, 10 newly hatched wMelPop infected Ae. aegypti were added. After 48 h, surviving larvae were removed, counted, and 10 additional newly hatched larvae added to each well. To ensure that any positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions were not because of undigested prey (Enigl et al. 2005), at the conclusion of the experiments, copepods were transferred to a clean well for 4 d to ensure all gut contents had been evacuated.

After this time, individuals (dead or alive) were removed, counted and tested for *Wolbachia*.

Fish. Experiments were conducted independently using *P. signifer* and *P. reticulata* at QIMR, Australia, and the NIHE, Vietnam, respectively. To test whether wMelPop can transfer from prey to predator via ingestion we fed 37 individual P. reticulata a minimum of 50 wMelPop infected fourth instar Ae. aegypti over a period of 2 d. Individual adult male P. reticulata of similar size were placed into individual 10 liter plastic aquaria  $(31 \times 20 \times 17 \text{ cm})$  containing 9 liters aged tap water. Individual P. reticulata were starved for 24 h before the trial. To each tank, 35 wMelPop infected fourth instar Ae. aegypti from the laboratory colony were added. After 24 h, surviving larvae were removed and counted and 35 additional fourth instar larvae added to each aguarium. When each *P. reticulata* had consumed 50 or more fourth instar wMelPop infected Ae. aegypti it was transferred into a clean aquarium containing aged tap water and gut contents allowed to clear for 36 h (determined in preliminary experiments). After this time, individuals were euthanized, counted, and the head, body, fins/tail, and internal abdominal organs tested for Wolbachia.

In addition to *P. reticulata*, we fed a school of 40 *P.* signifer a minimum of 4,000 (100 per fish) wMelPop infected fourth instar Ae. aegypti over a period of 5 d. Forty individual adult male P. signifer of similar size were placed into an aquarium containing 80 liters aged tap water. The school was starved for 24 h before the trial. To the aquarium, wMelPop infected fourth instar Ae. aegypti from the laboratory colony were added in lots of 50 at 1 min intervals until fish failed to consume the full amount in that time. This was repeated every 24 h for 5 d. After this period, the school was transferred into a clean aquarium containing aged tap water and gut contents allowed to clear for 3 d (determined in preliminary experiments). Individuals were then euthanized (QIMR animal ethics protocol P1251), counted and the head, body, fins/tail, and internal abdominal organs tested for Wolbachia.

Mosquitoes. We fed 110 Tx. speciosus on a diet of wMelPop infected Ae. aegypti larvae from egg hatch to pupation. Pupae were placed into holding cages  $(30 \times 20 \times 20 \text{ cm})$  and emerged adults maintained on sucrose for at least 1 wk. This was to ensure the larval midgut meconium was discharged. Individuals were then anesthetized in a freezer, counted, and tested for Wolbachia.

**Processing for** *Wolbachia* infection. For all trials *Wolbachia* detection was performed by PCR targeting the specific IS5 sequence. Primer sequences: IS5-F (5'-GTATCCAACAGATCTAAGC-3') and IS5-R (5'-ATAACCCTACTCATAGCTAG-3'). PCR conditions: 95°C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min; *b*: whenever a sample was positive for *Wolbachia* DNA, it was also screened for the presence of mosquito DNA through PCR targeting the ribosomal protein gene RpS17. Primer sequences: RpS17F (5'-CTGGAGATTTTCCGTTGTCA-3') and RpS17R (5'-GACACTTCCGGCACGTAGTT-3'). PCR condi-

No. noninfected No. infected Р Predatorspecies Prev stage No. prey offered No. prey consumed Ae. aegupti Ae. aegypti M. aspericornis Newly hatched 200  $110.5 \pm 43.0$  $44.9 \pm 16.7$  $47.1 \pm 19.0$ 0.80 M. darwini Newly hatched 200  $101 \pm 15.5$  $44.6 \pm 12.6$  $48.8 \pm 7.2$ 0.54P. reticulata Fourth instar 100 $32.0\pm6.7$  $34.4\pm6.7$  $34.5\pm8.3$ 0.97  $13.3\pm4.8$  $13.9 \pm 4.9$ P. signifer Fourth instar 50 $21.1\pm8.6$ 0.67 Fourth instar  $5.7 \pm 1.7$  $4.1 \pm 1.2$  $\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \pm 1.4 \\ 25.7 \pm 6.0 \end{array}$ 1.00 T. speciosus 14  $27.7\pm9.5$ 1-wk-old adult<sup>a</sup>  $46.2 \pm 15.5$ H. adansoni 100 0.673-wk-old adult<sup>a</sup> 100 $45.7\pm8.3$  $26.8\pm4.8$  $27.5\pm7.1$ 0.85H. adansoni 1-wk-old adult<sup>b</sup> 100  $49.0 \pm 5.2$  $36.7 \pm 4.7$  $35.2 \pm 4.6$ 0.59 3-wk-old adult<sup>b</sup>  $28.5 \pm 8.6$  $26.0 \pm 7.5$  $48.0 \pm 12.1$ 100 0.60

Table 1. Mean  $\pm$  SD number of prey consumed and mean  $\pm$  SD number wMelPop infected and noninfected Ae. aegypti remaining after 24 h exposure to six predator species

<sup>a</sup> Female.

<sup>b</sup> Male.

tions:  $95^{\circ}$ C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of  $95^{\circ}$ C for 30 s,  $60^{\circ}$ C for 30 s,  $72^{\circ}$ C for 1 min and a final extension at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 10 min.

For all fitness trials, five controls (without predators) were run to monitor larval survival. If mortality was below 5%, control individuals were not screened. For all horizontal transfer trials a subsample of predators were screened to ensure no natural *Wolbachia* infection existed. For all trials, a subsample of *w*Mel-Pop and tetracycline-cured *Ae. aegypti* from the same cohort used in the trial were also screened to confirm infection status.

Statistical Analyses. Significant differences between the mean number of *w*MelPop infected and tetracycline-cured *Ae. aegypti* (larvae or adults) remaining at the end of each experiment were determined using an independent samples *t*-test. All tests were run in PASW Statistics 18.0.

## Results

Adult and Larval Fitness. There were no significant differences in the mean number of infected and noninfected *Ae. aegypti* consumed by any of the six predators tested (Table 1). For *M. aspericornis, M. darwini, P. reticulata, P. signifier,* and *Tx. speciosus* the mean  $\pm$ SD percentage of *w*MelPop infected *Ae. aegypti* larvae remaining at the end of the experiment was 50.6  $\pm$  6.1, 52.8  $\pm$  5.4, 49.0  $\pm$  5.6, 51.2  $\pm$  9.5, and 49.5  $\pm$  0.1, respectively. The mean  $\pm$  SD percentage of *w*MelPop infected 1-wk-old and 3-wk-old female and male *Ae. aegypti* remaining at the end of the *H. adonsoni* experiment was 52.3  $\pm$  6.7 and 52.0  $\pm$  2.7, and 52.0  $\pm$  4.1 and 45.0  $\pm$  5.2, respectively.

Horizontal Transfer. No *M. aspericornis*, *M. darwini*, *P. signifier*, or *Tx. speciosus* were found positive for *w*MelPop at the end of the experiment. The head of one *P. reticulata* tested positive for *w*MelPop, however, this individual also tested positive for the presence of mosquito DNA, indicating some undigested infected larvae was present.

### Discussion

The fitness trials were designed to test if differential predation was occurring between *w*MelPop infected

and uninfected Ae. aegypti larvae and adults. Based on our results, there were no significant differences in the numbers of infected and uninfected Ae. aegupti larvae or adults consumed by the six different predator species. That this relationship was consistent across mosquito life stage, predator species, and experimental venue suggests that behavioral change in predator avoidance is not occurring, although we know that some traits become apparent with increased age (Yeap et al. 2011). Fourth instar Ae. aegypti infected with mermithid nematodes Romanomermis culicivorax Ross and Smith and Strelkovimermis spiculatus Poinar & Camino were more sedentary than uninfected Ae. *aegypti* and yet, this behavioral change did not lead to a reduction in predation of infected individuals (Wise de Valdez 2006, 2007). As we did not directly observe mosquito behavior, we can only conclude that any survival effects were not important in relation to predator avoidance, not that changes in predator avoidance did not occur. Future work could focus on behavioral observation of survival effects that may be more subtle such as mobility rates in escaping predation during attack, and increased use of refuges. Based on our results, differential predation should not be impediments to a mosquito control strategy based on the release of wMelpop infected individuals into the wild population.

We were unable to demonstrate horizontal transfer of *w*MelPop from *Ae. aegypti* larvae to any of the five species tested. That we were unable to demonstrate horizontal transfer to either of the two fish species, P. signifer and P. reticulata is not surprising given that there is no evidence for Wolbachia transfer from invertebrates to vertebrates in the literature. Horizontal transfer has been shown to be most successful when Wolbachia move between related hosts (Heath et al. 1999, Huigens et al. 2004, Haine and Cook 2005, Russell et al. 2009), likely because of the adaptation of Wolbachia strains to their hosts (McMeniman et al. 2008). As such, we considered exploiting the predator prev relationship between Tx. speciosus and Ae. aegypti as the most probable situation in which to demonstrate horizontal transfer of Wolbachia within a naturally occurring predator prey system involving Ae. aegypti. However, despite feeding for up to 21 d on Wolbachia infected Ae. aegypti from hatch to pupation, we were

unable to demonstrate any horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* to *Tx. speciosus* larvae. In Australia at least, *Toxorhynchites* frequently are found cohabiting with *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) and *Ae. notoscriptus* (Brown et al. 1996). Both of these prey species are known to be infected with *Wolbachia* but this is not the case for the predator. One might suggest that the degree of exposure afforded in these trials is minimal compared with a natural exposure over millennia.

Horizontal transfers of Wolbachia have mainly been investigated in insect host-parasitoid communities, giving rise to phylogenetic evidence for horizontal transmission between parasitoids and hosts (Werren et al., 1995b, Heath et al., 1999). Cordeaux et al. (2001) also report phylogenetic evidence of horizontal transmission between isopods and ectoparasitic mites, which feed on insect haemolymph. They suggest blood to blood contact, rather than feeding, could serve as a possible mechanism of transfer, as demonstrated by Rigaud and Juchault (1995) with woodlice. Jaenike et al. (2007), however, demonstrated that mites, through feeding, can serve as interspecific vectors of Spiroplasma poulsonii Williamson et al. in Drosophila. This may have important implications, as mosquitoes are, not uncommonly parasitized by mites (Mullen 1975), principally by the aquatic genera Thyas (Thyasidae) and Arrenurus (Arrenuridae). While rates are usually higher in mosquito species that use ground pools for oviposition, there is evidence of parasitisation of container breeding species (Smith and McIver 1984, Williams and Proctor 2002, Snell and Heath 2006). Unfortunately, there is no published literature on the rates of parasitisation in Ae. aegypti. Given the proposed route of transfer via feeding or blood to blood contact with parasitic mites, further investigations of the association between these two groups may be warranted.

Although we did not test for horizontal transmission to *H. adonsoni*, recent evidence leads us to suggest that it is extremely unlikely. Cordeaux et al. 2001 demonstrated that the *Wolbachia* strain detected in the spider *Dysdera erythrina* (Walckenaer, 1802) was phylogenetically too dissimilar from the symbionts in its woodlouse prey to favor the hypothesis of horizontal transfers via a predator/prey system. Yun et al. (2011) assessed the horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* between 11 spider families (predator) and six insect families (prey) based on wild caught individuals and could find no direct evidence indicating the existence of horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* between predator and prey.

Riegler et al. (2004) generalized that *Wolbachia* must cross three filters (ecological, physiological, and population) before it can become established in a new host species. The ecological filter is defined by the interaction between the existing and potential host species, the physiological filter by the ability of *Wolbachia* to colonize the germ line of an individual and the population filter conditions the ability of *Wolbachia* to invade and maintain itself in host populations (Riegler et al. 2004). Here, we demonstrated that predation on *w*MelPop infected *Ae. aegypti* larvae could allow cross-

ing of the ecological filter naturally (as opposed to artificially via microinjection). However, we were unable to demonstrate establishment in the germ line of any of the five predator species tested. We would concur with Popovici et al. (2010) that horizontal transfer is an extremely rare event and that what we are seeing now as a common phenomenon, that is, 65% of insect species infected, is a product of contact between them and *Wolbachia* over millions of years.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Lance Maddock (Queensland Institute of Medical Research) for assistance in the laboratory trials and Yi San Leong (University of Queensland) for processing and PCR screening of samples. This work was funded by Foundation for the National Institutes of Health through the Vector-Based Transmission of Control: Discovery Research (VCTR) program of the Grand Challenges in Global Health initiative of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Mosquito and Arbovirus Research Committee Inc.

## **References Cited**

- Bouchon, D., T. Rigaud, and P. Juchault. 1998. Evidence for wide- spread *Wolbachia* infection in isopod crustaceans: molecular identification and host feminization. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 265: 1081–1090.
- Brelsfoard, C. L., and S. L. Dobson. 2009. Wolbachia-based strategies to control insect pests and disease vectors. Asia Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotech. 17: 55–63.
- Brown, M. D., J. K. Hendrikz, J. G. Greenwood, and B. H. Kay. 1996. Evaluation of *Mesocyclops aspericornis* (Cyclopoida: Cyclopidae) and *Toxorhynchites speciosus* as integrated predators of mosquitoes in tire habitats in Queensland. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 12: 414–420.
- Brown, M. D., P. Mottram, I. D. Fanning, and B. H. Kay. 1992. The peridomestic container-breeding mosquito fauna of Darnley Is. (Torres Straight) (Diptera: Culicidae), and the potential for its control by predacious *Mesocyclops* copepods. J. Aust. Entomol. Soc. 31: 305–310.
- Brown, M. D., B. H. Kay, and J. Hendrix. 1991. Evaluation of Australian *Mesocyclops* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) for mosquito control. J. Med. Entomol. 28: 618–623.
- Cordaux, R., A. Michel-Salzat, and D. Bouchon. 2001. Wolbachia infection in crustaceans: novel hosts and potential routes for horizontal transmission. J. Evol. Biol. 14: 237–243.
- De Barro, P. J., B. Murphy, C. C. Jansen, and J. Murray. 2011. The proposed release of the yellow fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti* containing a naturally occurring strain of *Wolbachia pipientis*, a question of regulatory responsibility. J. Consumer Prot. Food Safety. (doi:10.1007/s00003-011-0671-x).
- Enigl, M., E. Zchori-Fein, and P. Schausberger. 2005. Negative evidence of *Wolbachia* in the predaceous mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis*. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 36: 249–262.
- Evans O., E. P. Caragata, C. J. McMeniman, M. Woolfit, D. C. Green, C. R. Williams, C. E. Franklin, S. L. O'Neill, and E. A. McGraw. 2009. Increased locomotor activity and metabolism of *Aedes aegypti* infected with a life-shortening strain of *Wolbachia pipientis*. J. Exp. Biol. 212: 1436– 1441.
- Ferrari, M.C.O., F. Messier, and D. P. Chivers. 2008. Threat-sensitive learning of predator by larval mosquitoes *Culex restuans*. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 62: 1079–1083.

- Gavotte, L., D. M. Mercer, R. Vandyke, J. W. Main, and S. L. Dobson. 2009. Wolbachia infection and resource competition effects on immature Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. 46: 451–459.
- Grill, C. P., and S. A. Juliano. 1996. Predicting species interactions based on behaviour: predation and competition in container-dwelling mosquitoes. J. Anim. Ecol. 65: 63–76.
- Haine, E. R., and J. M. Cook. 2005. Convergent incidences of *Wolbachia* infection in fig wasp communities from two continents. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 272: 421–429.
- Harcombe, W., and A. A. Hoffmann. 2004. Wolbachia effects in Drosophila melanogaster: in search of fitness benefits. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 87: 45–50.
- Heath, B. D., R.D.J. Butcher, G. F. Whitfield, and S. F. Hubbard. 1999. Horizontal transfer of Wolbachia between phylogenetically distant insect species by a naturally occurring mechanism. Curr. Biol. 9: 313–316.
- Hoffmann, A. A., B. L. Montgomery, J. Popovici, I. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, P. H. Johnson, F. Muzzi, M. Greenfield, M. Durkan, Y. S. Leong, Y. Dong, et al. 2011. Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission. Nature 476: 454–457.
- Huigens, M. E., R. P. de Almeida, P.A.H. Boons, R. F. Luck, and R. Stouthamer. 2004. Natural interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of parthenogenesis-inducing Wolbachia in Trichogramma wasps. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 271: 509–515.
- Islam, M. F., and S. L. Dobson. 2006. Wolbachia effects on Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) immature survivorship and development. J. Med. Entomol. 43: 689–695.
- Jaenike, J., M. Polak, A. Fiskin, M. Helou, and M. Minhas. 2007. Interspecific transmission of endosymbiotic Siroplasma by mites. Biol. Lett. 3: 23–25.
- Juliano, S. A., and M. E. Gravel. 2002. Predation and the evolution of prey behaviour: an experiment with tree hole mosquitoes. Behav. Ecol. 13: 301–311.
- Kambris, Z., P. E. Cook, H. K. Phuc, and S. P. Sinkins. 2009. Immune activation by life-shortening *Wolbachia* and reduced filarial competence in mosquitoes. Science 326: 134–136.
- Kesavaraju, B., and S. A. Juliano. 2004. Differential behavioural responses to water-borne cues to predation in two container-dwelling mosquitoes. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 97: 194–201.
- Kittayapong, P., W. Jamnongluk, A. Thipaksorn, J. R. Milne, and C. Sind-husake. 2003. *Wolbachia* infection complexity among insects in the tropical rice-field community. Mol. Ecol. 12: 1049–1060.
- McMeniman, C. J., A. M. Lane, A.W.C. Fong, D. A. Voronin, I. Iturbe-Ormaetze, R. Yamada, E. A. McGraw, and S. L. O'Neill. 2008. Host adaptation of a *Wolbachia* strain after long-term serial passage in mosquito cell lines. Appl. Environ. Micrbiol. 74: 6963–6969.
- McMeniman, C. J., R. V. Lane, B. N. Cass, A.W.C. Fong, M. Sidhu, Y.-F. Wang and S. L. O'Neill. 2009. Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Science 323: 141–144.
- McMeniman, C. J., and S. L. O'Neill. 2010. A virulent Wolbachia infection decreases the viability of the dengue vector Aedes aegypti during periods of embryonic quiescence. PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis. 4: e748.
- McMeniman, C. J., G. L. Hughes, and S. L. O'Neill. 2011. A Wolbachia symbiont in Aedes aegypti disrupts mosquito egg development to a greater extent when mosquitoes feed on nonhuman versus human blood. J. Med. Entomol. 48: 76–84.

- Moreira, L. A., I. A. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, J. A. Jeffery, G. Lu, A. T. Pyke, L. M. Hedges, B. C. Rocha, S. Hall-Mendelin, A. Day, M. Riegler, et al. 2009a. A Wolbachia symbiont in Aedes aegypti limits infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell 139: 1268–1238.
- Moreira, L. A., E. Saig, A. P. Turley, J.M.C. Ribeiro, and S. L. O'Neill. 2009b. Human probing behavior of Aedes aegypti when infected with a life-shortening strain of Wolbachia. PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis. 3: 568.
- Mullen, G. R. 1975. Acarine parasites of mosquitoes. I. A critical review of all known records of mosquitoes parasitized by mites. J. Med. Entomol. 12: 27–36.
- Nam, V. S., T. Y. Nguyen, M. Holynska, J. W. Reid, and B. H. Kay. 2000. National progress in dengue vector control in Vietnam: Survey for *mesocyclops* (Copepoda), Micronecta (Corixidae), and fish as biological control agents. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 62: 5–10.
- O'Neill, S. L., R. Giordano, A.M.E. Colbert, T. L. Karr, and H. M. Robertson. 1992. 16S Ribosomal-RNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 89: 2699–2702.
- Popovici, J., L. A. Moreira, A. Poinsignon, I. Iturbe-Ormaetxe, D. McNaughton, and S. L. O'Neill. 2010. Assessing key safety concerns of a *Wolbachia*-based strategy to control dengue transmission by *Aedes* mosquitoes. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 105: 957–964.
- Riegler, M., S. Charlat, C. Stauffer, and H. Mercot. 2004. Wolbachia transfer from Rhagoletis cerasi to Drosophila simulans: investigating the outcomes of host-symbiont coevolution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70: 273–279.
- Rigaud, T., and P. Juchault. 1995. Success and failure of horizontal transfers of feminizing *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in woodlice. J. Evol. Biol. 8: 249–255.
- Russell, J. A., B. Goldman-Huertas, C. S. Moreau, L. Baldo, J. K. Stahlhut, J. H. Werren, and N. E. Pierce. 2009. Specialization and geographic isolation among *Wolbachia* symbionts from ants and Lycaenid butterflies. Evolution 63: 624–640.
- Sih, A. 1986. Antipredator responses and the perception of danger by mosquito larvae. Ecology 67: 434-441.
- Sintupachee, S., J. R. Milne, S. Poonchaisri, V. Baimai, and P. Kittayapong. 2006. Closely related *Wolbachia* strains within the pumpkin arthropod community and the potential for horizontal transmission via the plant. Mol. Ecol. 51: 294–301.
- Smith, B. P., and S. B. McIver. 1984. The patterns of mosquito emergence (Diptera: Culicidae; *Aedes* spp.): their influence on host selection by parasitic mites (Acari: Arrenuridae; *Arrenurus* spp.). Can. Zool. 62: 1106–1113.
- Snell, A. E., and A.C.G. Heath. 2006. Parasitism of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) by larvae of Arrenuridae and Microtrombiidae (Acari: Parasitengona) in the Wellington region, New Zealand. N.Z. J. Ecol. 33: 9–15.
- Turley, A. P., L. A. Moreira, S. L. O'Neill, and E. A. McGraw. 2009. Wolbachia infection reduces blood-feeding success in the dengue fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*. PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis. 15: 516.
- Van Meer, M.M.M., J. Witteveldt, and R. Stouthamer. 1999. Phylogeny of the arthropod endosymbiont *Wolbachia* based on the WSP gene. Insect Molec. Biol. 8: 399–408.
- Van Uitregt, V. O., T. P. Hurst, and R. S. Wilson. 2012. Reduced size and starvation resistance in adult mosquitoes, Aedes notoscriptus, exposed to predation cues as larvae. J. Anim. Ecol. 81: 108–115.
- Werren, J. H., and D. M. Windsor. 2000. Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 267: 1277–1285.

- Werren, J. H., D. Windsor, and L. R. Guo. 1995a. Distribution of *Wolbachia* among neotropical arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 262: 197–204.
- Werren, J. H., W. Zhang, and L. R. Guo. 1995b. Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia* reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 261: 55–63.
- Williams, C. R., and H. C. Proctor. 2002. Parasitism of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) by larval mites (Acari: Parasitengona) in Adelaide, South Australia. Aust. J. Entomol. 41: 161– 163.
- Wise de Valdez, M. R. 2006. Parasitoid-induced behavioural alterations of *Aedes aegypti* mosquito larvae infected with mermithid nematodes (Nematoda: Mermithidae). J. Vector Ecol. 31: 344–354.
- Wise de Valdez, M. R. 2007. Predator avoidance behaviour of *Aedes aegypti* mosquito larvae infected with mermithid

nematodes (Nematoda: Mermithidae). J. Vector Ecol. 32: 150–153.

- Yeap, H. L., P. Mee, T. Walker, A. R. Weeks, S. L. O'Neill, P. Johnson, S. A. Ritchie, K. M. Richardson, C. Doig, N. M. Endersby, and A. A. Hoffmann. 2011. Dynamics of the "Popcorn" Wolbachia infection in outbred Aedes aegypti informs prospects for mosquito vector control. Genetics 187: 583–595.
- Yun, Y., Y. Peng, F. X. Liu, and C. Lei. 2011. Wolbachia screening in spiders and assessment of horizontal transmission between predator and prey. Neotrop. Entomol. 40: 164–169.

Received 9 December 2011; accepted 7 February 2012.