# Broader prevalence of Wolbachia in insects including potential human disease vectors

C.D. de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>ț, D.S. Gonçalves<sup>1</sup>t, L.A. Baton<sup>1</sup>, P.H. F. Shimabukuro $^2$ , F.D. Carvalho $^1$  and L.A. Moreira $^{1\ast}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Mosquitos Vetores: Endossimbiontes e Interação Patógeno Vetor: <sup>2</sup>Estudos em Leishmanioses, Centro de Pesquisas René Rachou (CPqRR), FIOCRUZ, Avenida Augusto de Lima, 1715, Barro Preto, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, CEP 30190-002, Brazil

# Abstract

Wolbachia are intracellular, maternally transmitted bacteria considered the most abundant endosymbionts found in arthropods. They reproductively manipulate their host in order to increase their chances of being transmitted to the offspring, and currently are being used as a tool to control vector-borne diseases. Studies on distribution of *Wolbachia* among its arthropod hosts are important both for better understanding why this bacterium is so common, as well as for its potential use as a biological control agent. Here, we studied the incidence of Wolbachia in a broad range of insect species, collected from different regions of Brazil, using three genetic markers (16S  $rRNA$ , wsp and  $ftsZ$ ), which varied in terms of their sensitivity to detect this bacterium. The overall incidence of *Wolbachia* among species belonging to 58 families and 14 orders was 61.9%. The most common positive insect orders were Coleoptera, Diptera, Hemiptera and Hymenoptera, with Diptera and Hemiptera having the highest numbers of Wolbachia-positive families. They included potential human disease vectors whose infection status has never been reported before. Our study further shows the importance of using quantitative polymerase chain reaction for high-throughput and sensitive Wolbachia screening.

Keywords: Brazil, insects, Wolbachia

(Accepted 21 January 2015)

## Introduction

Wolbachia are gram-negative alphaproteobacteria of the order Rickettsiales and family Anaplasmataceae exhibiting symbiotic relationships with their hosts (O'Neill et al., [1992](#page-9-0); Dumler et al., [2001](#page-8-0); Werren et al., [2008](#page-10-0)). They were first reported in the reproductive tissues of the mosquito Culex pi-piens [\(Hertig & Wolbach,](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/40459490_Studies_on_Rickettsia-Like_Micro-Organisms_in_Insects?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) [1924](#page-9-0)) and, therefore, the species was named Wolbachia pipientis [\(Hertig,](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231837874_The_Rickettsia_Wolbachia_pipientis_(gen._et_sp.n.)_and_Associated_Inclusions_of_the_Mosquito_Culex_pipiens?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) [1936](#page-9-0)). However, due to

\*Author for correspondence Phone: +55 31 33497776 Fax: +55 31 32953115 E-mail: [luciano@cpqrr.fiocruz.br](mailto:luciano@cpqrr.fiocruz.br)

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

uncertainty about the actual taxonomic status of W. pipientis, researchers commonly refer to it simply as Wolbachia (Lo et al., [2007](#page-9-0)). Currently, based on gene sequence information, at least 13 major clades of Wolbachia known as 'supergroups' (A–F and H–N) have been reported (reviewed in Augustinos et al., [2011\)](#page-8-0). All but three of these supergroups are found in arthropods, while the remaining three have so far only been found in nematodes (Casiraghi et al., [2005;](#page-8-0) Lo et al., [2007](#page-9-0); Haegeman et al., [2009;](#page-9-0) Augustinos et al., [2011](#page-8-0)). However, the great majority of arthropod Wolbachia so far described come from only two supergroups (A and B).

Wolbachia strains are globally distributed (Werren & Windsor, [2000](#page-10-0)) and currently these bacteria are considered the most abundant endosymbionts found in invertebrates. Wolbachia are referred to as reproductive parasites, because they induce diverse reproductive phenotypes, mainly in arthro-pods (Werren, [1997;](#page-10-0) Werren et al., [2008](#page-10-0)). Commonly, they are



associated with parthenogenesis (Weeks & Breeuwer, [2001\)](#page-10-0), phenotypic feminization of genetic males (Rousset et al., [1992](#page-10-0)), cytoplasmic incompatibility (O'Neill et al., [1992\)](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/21599103_16S_rRNA_phylogenetic_analysis_of_the_bacterial_endosymbionts_associated_with_CI_in_insects?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) and male killing (Hurst & Jiggins, [2000\)](#page-9-0). Wolbachia are also thought to play important roles in speciation and local adaptation (Brucker & Bordenstein, [2012\)](#page-8-0). The importance of Wolbachia in reproductive processes depends ultimately on its prevalence, and how it is transmitted between species (Stouthamer et al., [1999](#page-10-0)). In Arthropoda, Wolbachia are believed to be primarily maternally transmitted within species [\(Skinner,](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/6068426_Maternally_Inherited_Sex_Ratio_in_the_Parasitoid_Wasp_Nasonia_vitripennis?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) [1982](#page-10-0)), but horizontal transmission also frequently occurs between species over longer evolutionary time-scales (Werren et al., [1995](#page-10-0)a; Schilthuizen & Stouthamer, [1997](#page-10-0)).

About 40% of arthropod species are estimated to be in-fected with Wolbachia [\(Zug & Hammerstein,](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225287797_Still_a_Host_of_Hosts_for_Wolbachia_Analysis_of_Recent_Data_Suggests_That_40_of_Terrestrial_Arthropod_Species_Are_Infected?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) [2012\)](#page-10-0). They are common and widespread in insects [\(Werren](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238324299_Distribution_of_Wolbachia_among_Neotropical_Arthropods?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) et al., [1995](#page-10-0)b), which represent the greatest diversity of all known animal groups on Earth (Rafael et al., [2012\)](#page-9-0), equivalent to around 60% of all currently described organisms (Grimaldi & Engel, [2005](#page-9-0)). They are important for maintenance of ecosystems, as agricultural pests and vectors of human diseases, and useful in medicine and scientific research, besides representing a commercial value food in some cultures (Triplehorn & Johnson, [2005](#page-10-0)).

Due to the importance of Wolbachia, some researchers have investigated the presence of these bacteria in insects from dif-ferent locations (Duron et al., [2008](#page-8-0); Russell, [2012](#page-10-0); Russell et al., [2012](#page-10-0)). [Hilgenboecker](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5538868_Hilgenboecker_K_Hammerstein_P_Schlattmann_P_Telschow_A_Werren_JH._How_many_species_are_infected_with_Wolbachia_A_statistical_analysis_of_current_data._FEMS_Microbiol_Lett_281_215-220?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) et al. ([2008\)](#page-9-0) estimated that over 65% of insect species carry Wolbachia. However, other studies re-ported that up to 76% [\(Jeyaprakash & Hoy,](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/12349828_Jeyaprakash_A_Hoy_MA._Long_PCR_improves_Wolbachia_DNA_amplification_wsp_sequences_found_in_76_of_sixty-three_arthropod_species._Insect_Mol_Biol_9_393-405?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) [2000](#page-9-0)) or as few as 20% of insect species are infected with Wolbachia (Werren et al., [1995](#page-10-0)b). In the first published survey of Wolbachia distribution, [Werren](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238324299_Distribution_of_Wolbachia_among_Neotropical_Arthropods?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) et al. (1995b) found over 16% of sampled insect species from Panama were infected with Wolbachia, within several insect orders. In the UK, 22% of insects sampled were infected with Wolbachia, mainly in the Lepidoptera and Hymenoptera (West et al., [1998\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/13466599_Wolbachia_in_two_hostparasitoid_communities?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) In North America, insect species from 13 different orders were screened for Wolbachia, of which 19.3% were positive. The bacteria have been found in species within several major insect orders: Coleoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera and Orthoptera (Werren & Windsor, [2000](#page-10-0)).

Wolbachia detection in Arthropoda has been traditionally performed through standard polymerase chain reaction  $(PCR)$  assays targeting the 16S r $\overline{RNA}$  gene, or protein-coding genes such as the Wolbachia surface protein (wsp) gene and the bacterial cell division gene ftsZ (reviewed in Simões et al. (2011). In contrast, real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR), which possesses high reproducibility, sensitivity and precision of results, has never been used as a tool for Wolbachia screening in Arthropoda.

In Brazil, there are some reports regarding the detection of Wolbachia in limited, specific arthropod groups, but no general surveys of Wolbachia distribution among arthropods have so far been conducted. For example, infection of Wolbachia has been detected in two species of Balloniscus (Crustacea, Oniscidea) (Almerão et al., [2012](#page-8-0)) and in some species of Diptera in Culicidae (de Albuquerque et al., [2011;](#page-8-0) de Almeida et al., [2011](#page-8-0); Morais et al., [2012;](#page-9-0) Baton et al., [2013\)](#page-8-0) and in Hymenoptera (Formicidae) [\(Martins](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221767505_Martins_C_Souza_RF_Bueno_OC._Presence_and_distribution_of_the_endosymbiont_Wolbachia_among_Solenopsis_spp._(Hymenoptera_Formicidae)_from_Brazil_and_its_evolutionary_history._Journal_of_Invertebrate_Pathology?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) et al., [2012\)](#page-9-0). Here, we show the incidence of Wolbachia in different insect orders from the northern and southeastern regions of Brazil using three different markers (16S rRNA, wsp and ftsZ), and the observed incidence corroborates the previously reported

widespread nature of this bacterium. We also emphasize the importance of using qPCR for Wolbachia high-throughput screening.

## Materials and methods

## Insect collection sites

Insects were collected from various field sites spanning the northern and southeastern regions of Brazil, from 2009 to 2012. Samples were obtained from urban, non-urban, forest and forest fragments from Manaus, Careiro da Várzea, Coari and Lábrea in the state of Amazonas; from Belo Horizonte, Belo Vale, Campo Belo and São João da Missões in the state of Minas Gerais; and from Niterói and Rio de Janeiro city in the state of Rio de Janeiro [\(table 1](#page-2-0)).

## Insect collection and identification

Insects were manually collected using forceps, nets or traps: HP trap with light attraction (HP Biomédica, Sabará, Minas Gerais, Brazil; [Pugedo](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26398508_HP_um_modelo_aprimorado_de_armadilha_luminosa_de_suco_para_a_captura_de_pequenos_insetos?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-2d0a2ff1-f33d-4ed8-8065-c2403a302f4b&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI3MzYzOTU1ODtBUzoyMDg3OTE0MDE0MzkyMzhAMTQyNjc5MTE1NzU4NA==) et al., [2005](#page-9-0)), CDC trap+CO<sub>2</sub> (John W. Hock Company, Gainesville, Florida, USA) and BG-Sentinel traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany). Whole insects were individually preserved (to prevent potential cross-contamination) in 96% ethanol and stored at 4°C until identification and DNA extraction. Specimens were identified based on morphology to family level according to Rafael et al. [\(2012](#page-9-0)) and Triplehorn & Johnson [\(2005](#page-10-0)). Sand flies were identified to species level through genital morphology according to Galati ([2003\)](#page-9-0) and mosquitoes to species according to Consoli & de Oliveira ([1994\)](#page-8-0), Faran & Linthicum ([1981\)](#page-9-0) and Linthicum [\(1988](#page-9-0)). Photos were taken for voucher samples with a stereomicroscope (Zeiss Stemi DV4) and digital camera (Canon SX30 IS). Insects that had bristles and spots on the wings, which were important for identification, were not preserved in ethanol but kept in silica.

## DNA extraction

Small insects had their bodies homogenized, whereas larger insects were dissected in 1X PBS, to remove ovaries, fat body, thorax and/or abdomen. In the latter case, individual organs were used for DNA extraction.

Crude DNA samples were prepared from individual insects by homogenization in 80 μl 'squash buffer' (0.4 mM EDTA, 4 mM Tris, 20 mM NaCl) using a Mini-Beadbeater-16 (BioSpec Products, Inc., Bartlesville, Oklahoma, USA) (modified from Fu et al., [2010\)](#page-9-0). All samples were measured using a NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific Waltham, MA, USA) and diluted to a final concentration of 20 to 50 ng genomic DNA  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Template and PCR reaction

Insects were screened for the presence of Wolbachia using PCR. Standard PCR was used for the ribosomal 16S rRNA gene with the primers 16S-2 (originally called Wspec; Werren & Windsor, [2000](#page-10-0); Simões et al., [2011](#page-10-0)). Real-time qPCR was performed for the wsp and ftsZ genes using the wsp primers (Moreira et al., [2009](#page-9-0)) and newly designed primers to the ftsZ gene, as follows; ftsZqPCR Forward: 5'-GCATTGCAGAGCTTGGACTT-3' and ftsZqPCR Reverse: 5′-TCTTCTCCTTCTGCCTCTCC-3′. The ftsZqPCR primers were designed using Primer3 (Rozen & Skaletsky, [2000](#page-10-0);

City	<b>Site</b>	State	Environment	GPS coordinates	Collection date
Manaus	Centro	Amazonas	Urban	S3°6.4315', W60°1.5676'	September/2011
Manaus	Petrópolis	Amazonas	Urban forest fragments	S3°09.5018', W59°98.8075'	
Careiro da Várzea	$Br319 - Km 106$	Amazonas	Forest	S3°17.6238' e W59°51.8484'	August/2009 and October/2010
Coari	Gasoduto	Amazonas	Forest	S4°10.1303' e W63°14.0305'	May/2010
Lábrea	Terra Indígena Caititu, Aldeia Castanheira	Amazonas	Forest	S07°27'28.7', W64°43'42.2¢¢	May/2012
Niteroi	Jurujuba	Rio de Janeiro	Urban	S22°93.3332', W43°11.6669'	October and
Rio de Janeiro	Tubiacanga	Rio de Janeiro	Urban	S22°78.5780', W43°22.6513'	November/2012
Rio de Janeiro	Vila Valqueire	Rio de Janeiro	Urban	S22°88.3333, W43°36.6665'	
Rio de Janeiro	Urca	Rio de Janeiro	Urban	S22°95.4769', W43°16.6557'	
Belo Horizonte	Barro Preto	Minas Gerais	Urban	S19°55.1703', W43°57.973'	
Belo Horizonte	Sion	Minas Gerais	Urban	S19°57.3132', W43°56.2222'	
Belo Horizonte	Luxemburgo	Minas Gerais	Urban	S19°94.8444', W43°95.6791'	April/2011 and
Belo Horizonte	São Pedro	Minas Gerais	Urban	S19°94.2450', W43°93.6733'	September/2012
Belo Horizonte	Magabeiras	Minas Gerais	Urban forest fragments	S19°57.2520', W43°54.3821'	
Belo Horizonte	<b>UFMG</b>	Minas Gerais	Colony	S19°51.4953', W 43°57.60002'	August/2013
Belo Horizonte	CPqRR/Fiocruz	Minas Gerais	Colony	S19°55.4390′ W43°56.3806′	May/2011
Belo Vale	private property	Minas Gerais	Non-urban forest fragments	S20°24.4796' W44°1.0909'	April/2012
Campo Belo	private property	Minas Gerais	Non-urban forest fragments	S20°51.9503' W45°16.3921'	
São João da Missões	Xacriabá	Minas Gerais	Forest	S <sub>14</sub> °88.2146′ W <sub>44</sub> °21.8105′	August $/2012$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 1. Insect collection sites. Insects were collected from different settings: urban, non-urban, forest and forest fragments in northern (Amazonas state) and southeastern (Belo Horizonte and Rio de Janeiro), Brazil (2009–2012).

Untergasser et al., [2012](#page-10-0)) to amplify a 271 bp fragment of the ftsZ gene from as broad a spectrum as possible of known sequences from Supergroups A and B, but not C and D, Wolbachia. The specificity of the ftsZqPCR primers to Wolbachia was checked using NCBI Primer-BLAST against the non-redundant database. Control DNA samples were prepared using adult females of the mosquito Aedes aegypti artifi-cially infected with either the wMel (Walker et al., [2011](#page-10-0)) or wMelPop strains of Wolbachia (McMeniman et al., [2009\)](#page-9-0).

Standard PCR had the following components: a final concentration of 0.5X Buffer A and 0.5X Buffer B, 0.13 mM dNTP, 1 μM of each 16S-2 F/R primer, together with 0.3 μl of Elongase (Applied Biosystems®,Grand Island, New York, USA) and a total of 20–50 ng  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> of sample DNA, made up with water to a total volume of 25 μl. Amplifications were performed in an automatic thermocycler (Veriti™ Dx Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystems®,Grand Island, New York, USA) using 35 cycles (30 s 94°C, 30 s 52°C, 1.5 min 68°C) preceded by 5 min at 94°C and followed by a final extension step of 10 min at 68°C. PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gels stained with Gel Red (diluted 1000×, Biotium, Inc. Hayward, California, USA). qPCR had a final concentration of 1× SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and  $0.5 \mu$ M of each primer (wsp F/R or ftsZqPCR F/R), with a total of 20–50 ng of sample DNA and water to a total volume of 20 μl. The DNA was amplified through 40 cycles (15 s at 95° C and 30 s at 60°C) for the wsp R/F primers, and for 40 cycles (15 s at 95 $\degree$ C, 60 s at 60 $\degree$ C) for the ftsZqPCR F/R primers. All qPCR reactions were carried out in a 96-well microtitre plate (Model 7500, Applied Biosystems). Results were analyzed with the 7500 software v2.0.5, through individual analysis of each amplification curve (compared to the pattern of a positive control) and also their melting curves to check the specificity of the amplification.

In order to confirm the PCR results and therefore, Wolbachia infection status, we sequenced a subset of 61 samples [\(table 2\)](#page-3-0),

that exhibited positive results for only one set of primers. For that, DNA was amplified through conventional PCR under the same conditions as the qPCR (see above). After conventional PCR, the samples were then purified (PCR Purification Kit, Qiagen; Venlo, Limburg, Netherlands), lyophilized and sent for sequencing (Macrogen; Seoul, Korea). As a control, we also sequenced the DNA of A. aegypti artificially infected with the wMelPop (McMeninam et al., [2008](#page-9-0)), using the 16S  $rRNA$ , ftsZ and  $wsp$  primers. The raw sequencing reads were trimmed and analyzed using the nucleotide-nucleotide BLAST (BLASTN) tool from NCBI and results are shown on [table 2](#page-3-0).

#### Results

A total of  $n = 396$  insect specimens from 194 species were screened for Wolbachia in 14 orders and 58 families. The largest group belonged to Diptera ( $n = 191$ ; 48% of all specimens examined) followed by Hemiptera ( $n = 56$ ; 14%), Hymenoptera  $(n = 56; 14\%)$  and Coleoptera  $(n = 34; 9\%)$ . The highest number of species belonged to Diptera ( $n = 65$ ; 34% of all species examined), followed by Hymenoptera ( $n = 35$ ; 18%), Hemiptera ( $n =$ 33; 17%) and Coleoptera ( $n = 25$ ; 13%) ([fig. 1a, b](#page-4-0) and [table 3\)](#page-5-0).

We used three sets of primers to increase the chance of detecting different strains of Wolbachia in our insect samples: 16S rRNA, wsp and ftsZ. We found 28.3% specimens positive for the 16S rRNA marker, 46.2% for wsp and 39.7% for the ftsZ primer ([table 3\)](#page-5-0). As expected, the wsp and ftsZ primers were more sensitive in detecting Wolbachia infections than the 16S rRNA primers, which were used for qPCR.

Overall, Wolbachia was found in 10 of the 14 insect orders surveyed, with 232 (58.6%) specimens and 120 (61.9%) species positive. We found 100% species infected with Wolbachia in Orthoptera/Blattodea/Neuroptera/Siphonaptera, 73% in Hemiptera, 69% in Hymenoptera, 62% in Diptera, 50% in Isoptera, 48% in Coleoptera and 40% in Lepidoptera

Order	Family/order/species	Primer	Sequenced specimens	Positive for Wolbachia	Max score	Query cover $(\%)$	E value	Ident (%)	Accession
Hymenoptera	Formicidae	16S rRNA	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	708	92	0.0	100	IO726771.1
Hymenoptera	Vespidae	16S rRNA	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	675	56	0.0	99	AB746405.1
Diptera	Psychodidae and Phlebotominae	16S rRNA	5	$\overline{2}$	682	55	0.0	99	AB772263.1
		wsp			148	27	$5.00\times10^{-32}$	89	AY916133.1
Diptera	Psicodidae Phebotominae	FstZ	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	350	$\rm 48$	$1.00{\times}10^{-92}$	98	AY916134.1
	Sciopemyia sordellii								
Diptera	Psicodidae Phebotominae Psychodopygus llanosmartinsi	FstZ	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	392	53	$2.00\times10^{-105}$	98	KI659910.1
Diptera	Drosophilidae	16S rRNA	7	$\boldsymbol{7}$	682	94	0.0	97	KF250093.1
		FstZ			412	49	$2.00\times10^{-111}$	99	AY095164.1
	Culicidae Culex quinquefasciatus	16S rRNA	6	5	665	89	0.0	99	HG428761.1
Diptera									
		FstZ			379	46	$2.00\times10^{-101}$	98	KJ659910.1
Diptera	Culicidae/Culex sp.	16S rRNA	3	3	462	94	$2.00\times10^{-126}$	88	HG428761.1
		FstZ			139	21	$3.00\times10^{-29}$	95	JX296508.1
Diptera	Culicidae/Mansonia titilans	FstZ	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	409	88	$1.00{\times}10^{-110}$	100	GU573908.1
Diptera	Tachinidae	16S rRNA	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	460	92	$5.00\times10^{-126}$	89	KF250093.1
Diptera	Tipulidae	FstZ	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	333	41	$1.00{\times}10^{-87}$	99	HG970644.1
Diptera	Tabanidae	FstZ	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	195	24	$1.00\times10^{-45}$	88	AY157007.1
Diptera	Dolichopodidae	wsp	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	159	64	$1.00\times10^{-35}$	89	U83105.1
Coleoptera	Anobiidae	16S rRNA	$\ensuremath{\mathsf{3}}$	3	728	97	0.0	99	CP003883.1
	Rhinotermitidae	16S rRNA	9	8	616	92	$9.00\times10^{-179}$	96	AB632591.1
Isoptera					259	51	$1.00\times10^{-65}$	97	AJ833931.1
		wsp							
Hemiptera	Pirrhocoridae	16S rRNA	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	555	95	$2.00\times10^{-154}$	92	KF250093.1
Hemiptera Heteroptera	Rhopalidae	16S rRNA	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	339	92	$3.00\times10^{-89}$	83	EU914940.1
Hemiptera	Cicadellidae	Wsp	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	265	36	$5.00\times10^{-67}$	98	KC137230.1
Achenorrhyncha									
Hemiptera	Coreidae	wsp	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	241	31	$8.00\times10^{-60}$	99	KJ648498.1
Auchenorrhyncha									
	Reduviidae Triatoma infestans	FstZ	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{0}$					
Hemiptera									
		Wsp							
Hemiptera	Reduviidae Rhodnius prolixus	FstZ	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$					
		wsp							
Hemiptera	Reduviidae Triatoma	FstZ	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$					
	brasiliensis	wsp							
Hemiptera	Reduviidae Panstrongylus	FstZ	4	$\overline{0}$					
	megistus	Wsp							
Hemiptera	Berytidae	wsp	1	$\mathbf{1}$	248	17	$1.00 \times 10^{-61}$	97	KC161952.1
Hemiptera		wsp	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	189	36	$3.00\times10^{-44}$	90	KF036313.1
	Chrisopidae	FstZ	1	$\Omega$					
Neuroptera									
Total			61 samples	46 positive for Wolbachia					

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 2. Sequenced insect samples. Insects samples were sequenced for Wolbachia using wsp, 16S rRNA and fstZ primers.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Diversity and abundance of insects collected, and the proportion of species infected with Wolbachia in each order. In figures C–I: infected (dark grey) and uninfected (light grey) with Wolbachia.

(fig. 1c–i). Wolbachia was not detected in four insect orders: Odonata, Psocoptera, Diplura or Thysanura. This probably reflects the small sample sizes for these insect groups, rather than the absence of Wolbachia, as previous studies have found Wolbachia in the Odonata and Psocoptera (Thipaksorn et al., [2003](#page-10-0); Dong et al., [2006\)](#page-8-0). Wolbachia were present in 46 families from the 10 PCR-positive orders screened. Orders with the largest number of families infected with Wolbachia were Hemiptera ( $n = 12$ ; 20.7%), Diptera (12; 21%) and Coleoptera (7; 12.1%) ([table 3](#page-5-0)).

Within Diptera (families Culicidae and Psychodidae) and Hemiptera (Reduviidae), which include several human disease vectors species, we screened 41 species and 19 were posi-tive for Wolbachia [\(table 3](#page-5-0)). In Culicidae, we found Wolbachia in four species and two genera. Positive results for Culex quinquefasciatus Say, 1823 and Aedes albopictus (Skuse, 1894) and Culex sp. were expected as their infectious status is widely reported. However, for Mansonia titillans (Walker, [1848\)](#page-10-0), Psorophora cingulata (Fabricius, 1805) and Limatus sp. this is first report of Wolbachia. In Psychodidae, we report here for the first time the presence of Wolbachia in four phlebotomine species: Psychodopygus llanosmartinsi (Fraiha & Ward, 1980), Sciopemyia sordellii (Shannon & Del Ponte, 1927), Psychodopygus davisi (Root, 1934), Trichophoromyia flochi (Abonnenc & Chassignet, 1948), and two genera whose species have not been identified: Evandromyia sp. and Psychodopygus sp. In Reduviidae we did not find Wolbachia in any of screened species of triatominae: Triatoma infestans (Klug, 1934), Panstrongylus megistus (Klug, 1934), Triatoma brasiliensis Neiva, 1911 and Rhodnius prolixus Stål, 1859. These species are exclusively hematophagous, and have been reported with their association with Chagas disease transmission [\(table 4\)](#page-6-0).

Sequencing a subset of samples allowed us to confirm the majority of samples that showed positivity with the PCR

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



## <span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 3. (Cont.)



Table 4. Species and genus of hemipterans, Culicidae and phlebotomines collected and screened for Wolbachia. Hemipterans from colony, Culicidae from several localities, and phlebotomines from colony and Amazon.



1 Specimens from CPqRR/Fiocruz colony.

<sup>2</sup>Species vectors of disease.

3 Specimens from UFMG (Minas Gerais) colony.

analysis. From a total of 61 DNA samples, 46 returned se-quences belonging to Wolbachia [\(table 2\)](#page-3-0).

# Discussion

We studied the incidence of Wolbachia in insects collected from northern and southern parts of Brazil. Most of the insects collected belong to Coleoptera, Diptera, Hemiptera and Hymenoptera. Although we used light and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  traps, as well as manual sampling to collect insects near or within urban areas, targeting a great diversity of insect groups, most of the insects sampled were Diptera, Heteroptera, Hymenoptera and Coleoptera. This is because these orders are large and well-diversified, making it easier to collect representatives in different habitats. The higher prevalence of Wolbachia in Diptera was expected, since many species in this order have previously been reported to be infected with the endosymbiont, and we collected more specimens and species from this order, so that we would be more likely to detect rare infections (Duron et al., [2008](#page-8-0); Hilgenboecker et al., [2008](#page-9-0);

Zug & Hammerstein, [2012\)](#page-10-0). In dipteran insects, especially mosquitoes (Hertig & Wolbach, [1924](#page-9-0)) and drosophilids, Wolbachia is commonly found (Boyle et al., [1993](#page-8-0); Braig et al., [1994](#page-8-0)). Furthermore, many other insect groups are known to carry Wolbachia: e.g., leafhoppers, thrips and whiteflies (Nirgianaki et al., [2003](#page-9-0)), termites (Bandi et al., [1997;](#page-8-0) Lo et al., [2002](#page-9-0); Bordenstein & Rosengaus, [2005\)](#page-8-0), beetles (Werren & Windsor, [2000](#page-10-0); Nirgianaki et al., [2003](#page-9-0)), odonates (dragonflies and damselflies) (Thipaksorn et al., [2003](#page-10-0)) and crickets (Kamoda et al., [2000](#page-9-0)). Although in our collections, Hemiptera and Hymenoptera had fewer species and specimens collected compared to Diptera, Wolbachia had a higher incidence.

Heteroptera, known as true bugs, is one of the most diverse groups of insects with incomplete metamorphosis. Wolbachia infection was previously reported in this group (Kikuchi & Fukatsu, [2003\)](#page-9-0) and here we observed a 28.6% frequency of infection distributed in eight different families (Gerridae, Corixidae, Cydnidae, Berytidae, Pyrrhocoridae, Rhopalidae, Pentatomidae and Coreidae), six of them previously reported by Kikuchi & Fukatsu ([2003\)](#page-9-0). In many groups of Heteroptera, the removal of the endosymbionts can result in stunted growth and/or mortality of the nymphs, suggesting a major role for Wolbachia in this host association (Fukatsu & Hosokawa, [2002\)](#page-9-0).

Wolbachia also influence reproductive patterns in social Hymenoptera. Studies on ants in Indonesia showed that Wolbachia was common, with 50% of the species infected (Wenseleers *et al.*, [1998\)](#page-10-0). In our study, from 13 species of ants screened, nine were infected with Wolbachia, representing an incidence of infection greater than 69%. Wolbachia infection has been reported to cause parthenogenesis in some families of Coleoptera (Werren et al., [1995](#page-10-0)a; Rodriguero et al., [2010\)](#page-10-0). Furthermore, evidence of horizontal transfer of Wolbachia was also found in Curculionidae, Chrysomelidae and Tenebrionidae (Rodriguero et al., [2010\)](#page-10-0). We collected 19 species of beetles from these and others families. Wolbachia was present in 12 species: Cantharidae ( $n = 1$ ), Chrysomelidae ( $n =$ 3), Curculionidae ( $n = 2$ ), Haliplidae ( $n = 1$ ), Cerambicidae ( $n = 1$ ) 1), Anobiidae ( $n = 1$ ), Brentidae ( $n = 1$ ) and two other species. Based on 16S rRNA and wsp sequence detection, Wolbachia had already been reported in siphonapteran hosts (Jeyaprakash & Hoy, [2000;](#page-9-0) Gorham et al., [2003;](#page-9-0) Dittmar & Whiting, [2004](#page-8-0)) and in this study we collected a flea [Ctenocephalides canis Curtis (Siphonaptera, Pulicidae)] from a domestic dog that was also positive for Wolbachia. According to Dittmar & Whiting ([2004\)](#page-8-0), the discovery of symbiotic bacteria in wild populations of Siphonaptera suggests a potentially widespread association with fleas. Although we collected only two specimens of the same species, one specimen was positive.

In the present study, the overall incidence of Wolbachia among species was similar to that reported by Hilgenboecker et al. [\(2008](#page-9-0)) who estimated that the percentage of infected Wolbachia species is approximately 66%, when rarely infected species are included. Most of the species that we screened were based on one or only a few individuals. Within each species from the same population, we found that 40 to 100% specimens were infected with Wolbachia (i.e., the intra-specific prevalence of Wolbachia varied from low to high frequency). This could be because the levels of infection within a host population may depend on the age of the endosymbiont–host association (i.e., whether there has been sufficient time for Wolbachia to invade the host population) and how Wolbachia manipulates the reproduction of their hosts (Hurst & Jiggins,  $2000$ ).

Wolbachia is naturally present in many genera of mosquitoes, including Aedes, Culex, Mansonia and Coquillettidia (Kittayapong et al., [2000;](#page-9-0) Ricci et al., [2002](#page-9-0); Dean & Dobson, [2004](#page-8-0)) and recently it has been reported in Anopheles gambiae (Baldini et al., [2014](#page-8-0)). Our survey also revealed the presence of Wolbachia in a number of other potential vectors of human pathogens. Wolbachia has previously been found in the gonads and salivary glands of Rhodnius pallescens Barber, 1932, which is considered the most important vector of Trypanosoma cruzi and Trypanosoma rangeli in the Neotropics (Espino et al., [2009](#page-8-0)), but the role of this endosymbiont in the relationship between the insect and parasite is not yet known. In Brazil, there are several kissing bug species, which are important vectors of Chagas disease, such as T. infestans, T. brasiliensis, R. prolixus and P. megistus (Costa & Lorenzo, [2009](#page-8-0)), but there are no reports about the presence of Wolbachia in these insects. Although the wsp marker detected Wolbachia in five specimens of P. megistus and T. brasiliensis, while the ftsZ primers detected the bacterium in two specimens of R. prolixus and one P. megis-tus, the infection was not confirmed by sequencing [\(table 2](#page-3-0)), as the blasted sequences had no hits to Wolbachia. It is important to emphasize that these particular samples were derived from the laboratory. Broader screening of field specimens should be envisaged, increasing the chance of Wolbachia detection.

Wolbachia has also been reported in the Phlebotominae (Diptera: Psychodidae) both in New (Ono et al., [2001](#page-9-0); Azpurua et al., [2010](#page-8-0)) and Old World species (Zhou et al., [1998](#page-10-0)). Phlebotomines are vectors of several viral, bacterial and protozoal diseases of humans and other animals, but there are few studies on the presence of Wolbachia in sand flies (Cui et al., [1999;](#page-8-0) Ono et al., [2001](#page-9-0); Benlarbi & Ready, [2003](#page-8-0); Matsumoto et al., [2008](#page-9-0); Azpurua et al., [2010](#page-8-0); de Sousa et al., [2013\)](#page-8-0) and about the biological relationship of the endosymbiont with the host (Kassem et al., [2003;](#page-9-0) Kassem & Osman [2007\)](#page-9-0). In Iran, a new strain of Wolbachia was recently found in Phlebotomus perfiliewi transcaucasicus Perfil'ev, 1937 (Parvizi et al., [2013\)](#page-9-0), increasing the list of phlebotomines known to be infected with this endosymbiont. Further studies should explore the potential for Wolbachia to be used as a biological control agent for Leishmania vectors. Here, we collected 21 sand fly species (20 wild species from Amazonas and one from a colony), and Wolbachia was found only in wild species. In six wild species, the bacterium was found using both wsp and ftsZ primers. Only in a single wild species of the genus Evandromyia was Wolbachia detected by all three markers.

### Conclusions

Due to the high diversity amongst different Wolbachia strains, it is difficult to detect a wide range of strains using one set of universal primers. Currently, new strains of Wolbachia in different host species have been found, mainly due to the use of a combination of primers to improve detec-tion of this bacterium (Lo et al., [2002\)](#page-9-0). Here, we used three different primer sets and two PCR methods to enhance the detection of Wolbachia in an extensive collection of insects. According to Simões et al. ([2011\)](#page-10-0), the 16S rRNA primers are sensitive to detect a broad-spectrum of Wolbachia. However, these primers do not detect all Wolbachia strains. It was clear in our results that the primers used for real-time qPCR (wsp and *ftsZ*) showed a higher number of positive samples than conventional PCR (using the 16S rRNA primer set), which can be explained by the higher sensitivity provided by qPCR.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>In summary, one should take into account the difficulty of designing primers covering all existing groups of Wolbachia, but on the other hand be cautious of using a single marker, such as wsp or ftsZ, as this could potentially underestimate Wolbachia prevalence in a given sample. Finally, we recommend the use of real-time qPCR because it is the most sensitive and fastest method to detect Wolbachia in a wide variety of arthropod samples.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Wanderli Tadei, Alessandra Guarneri, Liléia Diotaiuti and Rafael Freitas for providing specimens and Edelberto Dias for lending us traps. Also, Taís Souza for helping with sequencing analysis. The authors thank the Program for Technological Development in Tools for Health-PDTIS-FIOCRUZ for using its facilities. Financial support was provided by INCT-EM, FAPEMIG and CNPq. LAM is a CNPq fellow.

## References

- Almerão, M.P., Fagundes, N.J.R., de Araújo, P.B., Verne, S., Grandjean, F., Bouchon, D. & Araújo, A.M. (2012) First record of Wolbachia in South American terrestrial isopods: prevalence and diversity in two species of Balloniscus (Crustacea, Oniscidea). Genetics and Molecular Biology 35, 980–989.
- Augustinos, A.A., Santos-Garcia, D., Dionyssopoulou, E., Moreira, M., Papapanagiotou, A., Scarvelakis, M., Doudoumis, V., Ramos, S., Aguiar, A.F., Borges, P.A., Khadem, M., Latorre, A., Tsiamis, G. & Bourtzis, K. (2011) Detection and characterization of Wolbachia infections in natural populations of aphids: is the hidden diversity fully unraveled? PLoS ONE 6, e28695.
- Azpurua, J., De La Cruz, D., Valderama, A. & Windsor, D. (2010) Lutzomyia sand fly diversity and rates of infection by Wolbachia and an exotic Leishmania species on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 4, e627.
- Baldini, F., Segata, N., Pompon, J., Marcenac, P., Robert Shaw, W., Dabiré, R.K., Diabaté, A., Levashina, E.A. & Catteruccia, F. (2014). Evidence of natural Wolbachia infections in field populations of Anopheles gambiae. Nature Communications 5, 3985.
- Bandi, C., Sironi, M., Nalepa, C.A., Corona, S. & Sacchi, L. (1997) Phylogenetically distant intracellular symbionts in termites. Parassitologia 39, 71–75.
- Baton, L.A., Pacidônio, E.C., da Silva Gonçalves, D. & Moreira, **L.A.** (2013)  $w$ Flu: characterization and evaluation of a native Wolbachia from the mosquito Aedes fluviatilis as a potential vector control agent. PLoS ONE 8, e59619.
- Benlarbi, M. & Ready, P.D. (2003) Host-specific Wolbachia strains in widespread populations of Phlebotomus perniciosus and P. papatasi (Diptera: Psychodidae), and prospects for driving genes into these vectors of Leishmania. Bulletin of Entomological Research 93, 383–391.
- Bordenstein, S. & Rosengaus, R.B. (2005) Discovery of a novel Wolbachia super group in Isoptera. Current microbiology 51, 393–398.
- Boyle, L., O'Neill, S.L., Robertson, H.M. & Karr, T.L. (1993) Interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of Wolbachia in Drosophila. Science 260, 1796–1799.
- Braig, H.R., Guzman, H., Tesh, R.B. & O'Neill, S.L. (1994) Replacement of the natural Wolbachia symbiont of Drosophila simulans with a mosquito counterpart. Nature 367, 453-455.
- Brucker, R.M. & Bordenstein, S.R. (2012) Speciation by symbiosis. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 27, 443–451.
- Casiraghi, M., Bordenstein, S.R., Baldo, L., Lo, N., Beninati, T., Wernegreen, J.J., Werren, J.H. & Bandi, C. (2005) Phylogeny of Wolbachia pipientis based on gltA, groEL and ftsZ gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the Wolbachia tree. Microbiology 151, 4015–4022.
- Consoli, R.A.G.B. & de Oliveira, R.L. (1994) Principais Mosquitos de Importância Sanitária no Brasil. p. 228. Rio de Janeiro, Fiocruz.
- Costa, J. & Lorenzo, M. (2009) Biology, diversity and strategies for the monitoring and control of triatomines – Chagas disease vectors. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 104, 46–51.
- Cui, L., Chang, S.H., Strickman, D. & Rowton, E. (1999) Frequency of Wolbachia infection in laboratory and field sand fly (Diptera: Psychodidae) populations. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 15, 571–572.
- de Albuquerque, A.L., Magalhães, T. & Ayres, C.F.J. (2011) High prevalence and lack of diversity of Wolbachia pipientis in Aedes albopictus populations from Northeast Brazil. Memorias Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 106, 773–776.
- de Almeida, F., Moura, A.S., Cardoso, A.F., Winter, C.E., Bijovsky, A.T. & Suesdek, L. (2011) Effects of Wolbachia on fitness of Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera; Culicidae). Infection, Genetics and Evolution 11, 2138–2143.
- Dean, J.L. & Dobson, S.L. (2004) Characterization of Wolbachia infections and interspecific crosses of Aedes (Stegomyia) polynesiensis and Ae. (Stegomyia) riversi (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 41, 894–900.
- de Sousa, K.B.A., da Silva, T.R.R., Alencar, R.B., Baton, L.A., Naveca, F.G. & Shimabukuro, P.H.F. (2013) 16S rRNA gene-based identification of microbiota associated with the parthenogenetic troglobiont sand fly Deanemyia maruaga (Diptera, Psychodidae) from central Amazon, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 44, 325–328.
- Dittmar, K. & Whiting, M.F. (2004) New Wolbachia endosymbionts from Nearctic and Neotropical fleas (Siphonaptera). Journal of Parasitology 90, 953–957.
- Dong, P., Wang, J-J. & Zhao, Z-M. (2006) Infection by Wolbachia bacteria and its influence on the reproduction of the stored-product psocid, Liposcelis tricolor. Journal of Insect Science 6, 1–7.
- Dumler, J.S., Barbet, A.F., Bekker, C.P.J., Dasch, G.A., Palmer, G. H., Ray, S.C., Rikihisa, Y. & Rurangirwa, F.R. (2001). Reorganization of genera in the families Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae in the order Rickettsiales: unification of some species of Ehrlichia with Anaplasma, Cowdria with Ehrlichia and Ehrlichia with Neorickettsia, descriptions of six new species combinations and designation of Ehrlichia equi and 'HGE agent' as subjective synonyms of Ehrlichia phagocytophila. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51, 2145–2165.
- Duron, O., Bouchon, D., Boutin, S., Bellamy, L., Zhou, L., Engelstädter, J. & Hurst, G.D. (2008) The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: Wolbachia do not walk alone. BMC Biology 6, 27.
- Espino, C.I., Gómez, T., González, G., do Santos, M.F.B., Solano, J., Sousa, O., Moreno, N., Windsor, D., Ying, A., Vilchez, S. & Osuna, A. (2009) Detection of Wolbachia bacteria in multiple organs and feces of the triatomine insect Rhodnius

<span id="page-9-0"></span>pallescens (Hemiptera, Reduviidae). Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75, 547–550.

- Faran, M.E. & Linthicum, K.J. (1981) A handbook of the Amazonian species of Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) (Diptera: Culcidae). Mosquito System 13, 1–81.
- Fu, Y., Gavotte, L., Mercer, D.R. & Dobson, S.L. (2010) Artificial triple Wolbachia infection in Aedes albopictus yields a new pattern of unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 76, 5887–5891.
- Fukatsu, T. & Hosokawa, T. (2002) Capsule-transmitted gut symbiotic bacterium of the Japanese common plataspid stinkbug, Megacopta punctatissima. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68, 389–396.
- Galati, E.A.B. (2003) Classificação de Phlebotominae. pp. 23–175 in Rangel, E.F. & Lainson, R. (Ed.) Flebotomíneos do Brasil. Rio de Janeiro, Fiocruz.
- Gorham, C.H., Fang, Q.Q. & Durden, L.A. (2003) Wolbachia endosymbionts in fleas (Siphonaptera). Journal of Parasitology 89, 283–289.
- Grimaldi, D. & Engel, M.S. (2005) Evolution of the Insects. p. 772. London, England, Cambridge University Press.
- Haegeman, A., Vanholme, B., Jacob, J., Vandekerckhove, T.T. M., Claeys, M., Borgonie, G. & Gheysen, G. (2009) An endosymbiotic bacterium in a plant-parasitic nematode: member of a new Wolbachia supergroup. International Journal for Parasitology 39, 1045–1054.
- Hertig, M. (1936) The rickettsia, Wolbachia pipientis (gen. et sp.n.) and associated inclusions of the mosquito, Culex pipiens. Parasitology 28, 453-486.
- Hertig, M. & Wolbach, S.B. (1924) Studies on rickettsia-like microorganisms in insects. Journal of Medical Research 44, 329–374.
- Hilgenboecker, K., Hammerstein, P., Schlattmann, P., Telschow, A. & Werren, J.H. (2008) How many species are infected with Wolbachia? – a statistical analysis of current data. FEMS microbiology letters 281, 215–220.
- Hurst, G.D.D. & Jiggins, F.M. (2000) Male-killing bacteria in insects: mechanisms, incidence, and implications. Emerging Infectious Diseases 6, 329–336.
- Jeyaprakash, A. & Hoy, M.A. (2000) Long PCR improves Wolbachia DNA amplification: wsp sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. Insect Molecular Biology 9, 393–405.
- Kamoda, S., Masui, S., Ishikawa, H. & Sasaki, T. (2000) Wolbachia infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility in the cricket Teleogryllus taiwanemma. Journal of Experimental Biology 203, 2503–2509.
- Kassem, H.A. & Osman, G. (2007) Maternal transmission of Wolbachia in Phlebotomus papatasi (Scopoli). Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 101, 435–440.
- Kassem, H.A., Hassan, A.N., Abdel-Hamid, I., Osman, G., El Khalab, E.M. & Madkour, M.A. (2003) Wolbachia infection and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility in sandflies (Diptera: Psychodidae) from Egypt. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 97, 639–644.
- Kikuchi, Y. & Fukatsu, T. (2003) Diversity of Wolbachia endosymbionts in heteropteran bugs. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 69, 6082–6090.
- Kittayapong, P., Baisley, K.J., Baimai, V. & O'Neill, S.L. (2000) Distribution and diversity of Wolbachia infections in Southeast Asian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 37, 340–345.
- Linthicum, K.J. (1988) A revision of the Argyritarsis Section of the subgenus Nyssorhynchus of Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito System 20, 101–271.
- Lo, N., Casiraghi, M., Salati, E., Bazzocchi, C. & Bandi, C. (2002). How many Wolbachia supergroups exist? Molecular Biology and Evolution 19, 341–346.
- Lo, N., Paraskevopoulos, C., Bourtzis, K., O'Neill, S.L., Werren, J.H., Bordenstein, S.R. & Bandi, C. (2007) Taxonomic status of the intracellular bacterium Wolbachia pipientis. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 57, 654–657.
- Martins, C., Souza, R.F. & Bueno, O.C. (2012) Presence and distribution of the endosymbiont Wolbachia among Solenopsis spp. (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from Brazil and its evolutionary history. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 109, 287–296.
- Matsumoto, K., Izri, A., Dumon, H., Raoult, D. & Parola, P. (2008) First detection of Wolbachia spp., including a new genotype, in sand flies collected in Marseille, France. Journal of Medical Entomology 45, 466–469.
- McMeniman, C.J., Lane, A.M., Fong, A.W., Voronin, D.A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Yamada, R., McGraw, E.A. & O'Neill, S.L. (2008 Nov) Appl Environ Microbiol. 74(22):6963–9. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01038-08. Epub 2008 Oct 3.
- McMeniman, C.J., Lane, R.V., Cass, B.N., Fong, A.W.C., Sidhu, M., Wang, Y-F. & O'Neill, S.L. (2009) Stable introduction of a life-shortening Wolbachia infection into the mosquito Aedes aegypti. Science 323, 141–144.
- Morais, S.A., de Almeida, F., Suesdek, L. & Marrelli, M.T. (2012) Low genetic diversity in Wolbachia-infected Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae) from Brazil and Argentina. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo 54, 325–329.
- Moreira, L.A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Jeffery, J.A., Lu, G., Pyke, A. T., Hedges, L.M., Rocha, B.C., Hall-Mendelin, S., Day, A., Riegler, M., Hugo, L.E., Johnson, K.N., Kay, B.H., McGraw, E.A., van den Hurk, A.F., Ryan, P.A. & O'Neill, S.L. (2009) A Wolbachia symbiont in Aedes aegypti limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell 139, 1268-1278.
- Nirgianaki, A., Banks, G.K., Frohlich, D.R., Veneti, Z., Braig, H. R., Miller, T.A., Bedford, I.D., Markham, P.G., Savakis, C. & Bourtzis, K. (2003) Wolbachia infections of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci. Current Microbiology 47, 93–101.
- O'Neill, S.L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A.M.E., Karr, T.L. & Robertson, H.M. (1992). 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 89, 2699–2702.
- Ono, M., Braig, H.R., Munstermann, L.E., Ferro, C. & O'Neill, S.L. (2001) Wolbachia infections of phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 38, 237–241.
- Parvizi, P., Fardid, F. & Soleimani, S. (2013) Detection of a new strain of Wolbachia pipientis in Phlebotomus perfiliewi transcaucasicus, a potential vector of visceral Leishmaniasis in north west of Iran, by targeting the major surface protein gene. Journal of Arthropod-Borne Diseases 7, 46–55.
- Pugedo, H., Barata, R.A., França-Silva, J.C., Silva, J.C. & Dias, E. S. (2005) HP: um modelo aprimorado de armadilha luminosa de sucção para a captura de pequenos insetos. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical 38, 70–72.
- Rafael, J.A., Melo, G.A.R., de Carvalho, C.J.B., Casari, S.A. & Constantino, R. (2012) Insetos do Brasil: Diversidade e Taxonomia. p. 810. São Paulo, Holos.
- Ricci, I., Cancrini, G., Gabrielli, S., D'Amelio, S. & Favia, G. (2002) Searching for Wolbachia (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) in mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): large polymerase chain reaction survey and new identifications. Journal of Medical Entomology 39, 562–567.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Rodriguero, M.S., Confalonieri, V.A., Guedes, J.V. & Lanteri, A. A. (2010) Wolbachia infection in the tribe Naupactini (Coleoptera, Curculionidae): association between thelytokous parthenogenesis and infection status. Insect Molecular Biology 19, 631–640.
- Rousset, F., Bouchon, D., Pintureau, B., Juchault, P. & Solignac, M. (1992) Wolbachia endosymbionts responsible for various alterations of sexuality in arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 250, 91–98.
- Rozen, S. & Skaletsky, H. (2000) Primer3 on the www for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods in Molecular Biology 132, 365–386.
- Russell, J.A. (2012) The ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) are unique and enigmatic hosts of prevalent Wolbachia (Alphaproteobacteria) symbionts. Myrmecological News 16, 7–23.
- Russell, J.A., Funaro, C.F., Giraldo, Y.M., Goldman-Huertas, B., Suh, D., Kronauer, D.J.C., Moreau, C.S. & Pierce, N.E. (2012) A veritable menagerie of heritable bacteria from ants, butterflies, and beyond: broad molecular surveys and a systematic review. PLoS ONE 7, e51027.
- Schilthuizen, M. & Stouthamer, R. (1997) Horizontal transmission of parthenogenesis-inducing microbes in Trichogramma wasps. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 264, 361–366.
- Simões, P.M., Mialdea, G., Reiss, D., Sagot, M-F. & Charlat, S. (2011) Wolbachia detection: an assessment of standard PCR protocols. Molecular Ecology Resources 11, 567–572.
- Skinner, S.W. (1982) Maternally inherited sex ratio in the parasitoid wasp Nasonia vitripennis. Science 215, 1133–1134.
- Stouthamer, R., Breeuwer, J.A.J. & Hurst, G.D.D. (1999) Wolbachia pipientis: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annual Review of Microbiology 53, 71–102.
- Thipaksorn, A., Jamnongluk, W. & Kittayapong, P. (2003) Molecular evidence of Wolbachia infection in natural populations of tropical odonates. Current microbiology 47, 314–318.
- Triplehorn, C.A. & Johnson, N.F. (2005) Introduction to the study of insects. p. 809 in Borror, and Delong´s Belmont (Ed.). CA, USA, Cengage Learning.
- Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M. & Rozen, S.G. (2012) Primer3 – new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Research 40, e115.
- Walker, T., Johnson, P.H., Moreira, L.A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Frentiu, F.D., McMeniman, C.J., Leong, Y.S., Dong, Y., Axford, J., Kriesner, P., Lloyd, A.L., Ritchie, S.A., O'Neill, S. L. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2011) The wMel Wolbachia strain blocks dengue and invades caged Aedes aegypti populations. Nature 2011, 476, 450–453.
- Weeks, A.R. & Breeuwer, J.A.J. (2001) Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in a genus of phytophagous mites. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 268, 2245–2251.
- Wenseleers, T., Ito, F., Van Borm, S., Huybrechts, R., Volckaert, F. & Billen, J. (1998) Widespread occurrence of the microorganism Wolbachia in ants. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 265, 1447–1452.
- Werren, J.H. (1997) Biology of Wolbachia. Annual Review of Entomology 42, 587–609.
- Werren, J.H. & Windsor, D.M. (2000) Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proceedings of the Royal Society B 267, 1277–1285.
- Werren, J.H., Zhang, W. & Guo, L.R. (1995a) Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 261, 55-63.
- Werren, J.H., Windsor, D. & Guo, L. (1995b) Distribution of Wolbachia among neotropical arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 262, 197–204.
- Werren, J.H., Baldo, L. & Clark, M.E. (2008) Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nature Reviews Microbiology 6, 741–751.
- West, S.A., Cook, J.M., Werren, J.H. & Godfray, H.C.J. (1998) Wolbachia in two insect host-parasitoid communities. Molecular Ecology 7, 1457–1465.
- Zhou, W., Rousset, F. & O'Neill, S. (1998) Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of Wolbachia strains using wsp gene sequences. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 265, 509-515.
- Zug, R. & Hammerstein, P. (2012) Still a host of hosts for Wolbachia: analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. PLoS ONE 7, e38544.